1. Agenda 11 14 16

   Documents:

   AGENDA 11 14 16.PDF

2. City Council Meeting Minutes

   Documents:

   COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES 11 14 16.PDF

AGENDA
CITY OF WESTMINSTER, MARYLAND
Mayor and Common Council Meeting of November 14, 2016

1. CALL TO ORDER

- Presentation of Scarecrow Contest Awards
- Mayoral Proclamation – Extra Mile Day
- Mayoral Proclamation – Municipal Government Works Month

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE MEETING OCTOBER 24, 2016

3. PUBLIC HEARINGS

- Proposed Ordinance No. 872, regarding a Rezoning and Simplified Site Plan for Medical Cannabis Uses at 1234 Tech Court – Mr. Mackey
- Proposed Ordinance No. 869 – Amending Chapter 164 "Zoning and Subdivision of Land" to address wireless technology on certain private property – Mr. Mackey

4. CONSENT CALENDAR

American Traffic Solutions, Inc. Agreement – Chief Spaulding

5. REPORT FROM THE MAYOR

6. REPORTS FROM STANDING COMMITTEES

7. COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION

8. BIDS

Printing and Mailing Services – Utility Bills, Newsletters, Late Notices – Ms. Palmer

9. ORDINANCES & RESOLUTIONS

- Introduction of Ordinance No. 872 - Rezoning and Simplified Site Plan for Medical Cannabis Uses at 1234 Tech Court – Mr. Mackey
- Adoption of Ordinance No. 869 – Amending Chapter 164 "Zoning and Subdivision of Land" to address wireless technology on certain private property – Mr. Mackey

10. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

11. NEW BUSINESS

Motion to Hold Executive Session Immediately Following Regular Meeting

12. DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS

13. CITIZEN COMMENTS

14. ADJOURNMENT

15. EXECUTIVE SESSION

MINUTES

CITY OF WESTMINSTER, MARYLAND

Mayor and Common Council Meeting of November 14, 2016

CALL TO ORDER

Council Members Present: Council President Wack, Councilman Chiavacci, Councilwoman Albert, Councilwoman Becker, and Councilman Pecoraro. **Absent**: Mayor Utz.

Staff Present: Director of Recreation and Parks Gruber, City Attorney Levan, Director of Finance and Administrative Services Palmer, Chief of Police Spaulding, Interim City Administrator Deutsch, Director of Community Planning & Development Mackey and Manager of Human Resources Childs.

President Wack, on behalf of Mayor Utz, presented the Best Decorated Scarecrow award to Sturgill and Associates. He then presented the People's Choice award to Flowers by Evelyn. Dr. Wack thanked all of the businesses that participated in the Scarecrow Contest.

President Wack, on behalf of Mayor Utz, proclaimed November 1 as Extra Mile Day in the City of Westminster. He then presented the Mayoral Proclamation for Municipal Government Works Month during the month of November.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE MEETING OCTOBER 24, 2016

Councilman Chiavacci moved, seconded by Councilwoman Albert, to approve the minutes of the meeting on October 24, 2016.

VOTE

AYES: Council President Wack, Councilman Chiavacci, Councilwoman Albert, Councilwoman Becker, and Councilman Pecoraro.

NAYS: None.

MOTION Passed Unanimously 5-0.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

President Wack, on behalf of Mayor Utz, conducted a public hearing for Ordinance No. 872, regarding a Rezoning and Simplified Site Plan for Medical Cannabis Uses at 1234 Tech Court. Mr. Mackey reported to Common Council that on September 14, 2016, Mr. Clark R. Shaffer, Esq. representing the applicant, Maryland Compassionate Care and Wellness, LLC, and the property owner, Tech Court, LLC, submitted an application for rezoning to allow for a growing and processing facility at 1234 Tech Court within the City of Westminster.

The pre-approval process includes the State's Natalie M. Laprade Medical Cannabis Commission considering third-party-scored and ranked applications and then issuing pre-approvals to successful applicants. During the second stage, these pre-approved applicants must complete financing, construction, hiring, training, and then prepare to open facilities. The Commission investigates each applicant's financial background, while applicants are preparing their facilities. Applicants inform the Commission when they are ready for inspection of both their premises and operations. Then, the Commission inspects the premises, reviews Standard Operating Practices and checks training protocols. If an applicant were to pass inspection, then the Commission would award the applicant a license to start operating the facility. In this case, there would be two licenses needed.

The applicant is requesting approval of a rezoning to add medical cannabis grower facility and medical cannabis processor facility to property in the I-R Restricted Industrial Zone. The application for rezoning was submitted with a site plan showing the existing as-is warehouse facility located on the property. The applicant has requested

a simplified site plan review, since the applicant expects less than 5,000 square feet of disturbance for the project. Currently, there are no changes proposed on the site plan. As part of the process to apply the floating zone to the property, the Mayor and Common Council could grant a conditional approval of the zone for grower and processor uses, dependent upon the applicant's successful licensure by the State of the facility at 1234 Tech Court. If the applicant were unsuccessful in obtaining licensure by the State, then the rezoning would not be applied to the property at 1234 Tech Court.

The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval with the condition that the applicant successfully obtain licensure by the State of Maryland's Natalie M. Laprade Medical Cannabis Commission to operate a growing and/or a processing facility at 1234 Tech Court.

Councilman Pecoraro summarized that when Ordinance No. 859 was adopted, it was a response to legislation that was adopted by the State that permitted the use of Medical Cannabis and created the process for where these sites would be placed. The advice from the Attorney General was that it was not optional. However, the City could use Zoning to keep such uses away from residential areas. Councilman Chiavacci inquired if this would be a growing and processing facility, not a dispensary facility. Mr. Mackey replied that the applicant is not applying for the dispensary license. Councilman Chiavacci also questioned if this was for an indoor facility only. Mr. Mackey replied that the application was for an indoor facility only.

Clark Shaffer, 73 East Main Street, introduced Andy Cohen of Maryland Compassionate Care and Wellness, LLC. Mr. Cohen informed Common Council that Maryland Compassionate Care and Wellness, LLC is an entity that was formed to pursue the licenses in the State of Maryland and to operate those licenses. The three main owners in Illinois operate or have some ownership in five different states. Mr. Cohen shared that Maryland Compassionate Care and Wellness, LLC has not applied for any dispensaries in Carroll County. Maryland Compassionate Care and Wellness, LLC is the sole applicant in Carroll County. The facility must be operational by August 15, 2017. He explained that 1234 Tech Court is an existing industrial building that will need $6-$8 million in renovations. Mr. Cohen informed Common Council that all activities would be inside of the building and there would be no signage; therefore, no attention will be drawn to the building. Additionally, there will be 24-hour monitoring and a security guards on site during all operating hours, as well as other additional security that is required by the State of Maryland. The hours of operation are yet to be determined, with approximately 20 – 30 employees to start. When the building is complete, the maximum capacity would be approximately 100 employees. There will be two to three incoming deliveries. It is estimated that approximately 2,000 gallons of water will be needed for the use on a daily basis.

Councilman Pecoraro questioned how many sites were in Maryland. Mr. Cohen replied that there will be a dispensary somewhere else within the State of Maryland. Each licensee is only allowed one facility for growing. Councilman Pecoraro then questioned the transportation of the product. Mr. Cohen replied that the product cannot be transported out of state and will only be delivered to a dispensary within the State of Maryland and to other processors. Councilman Pecoraro questioned Mr. Cohen if Maryland Compassionate Care and Wellness, LLC would be hiring locally and if they were willing to work with the local police department regarding the plans. Mr. Cohen replied that Maryland Compassionate Care and Wellness, LLC will hire locally and that he had been in touch with Sheriff DeWees throughout the process. Councilman Chiavacci questioned the type of product that would be produced. Mr. Cohen replied flower and infused products. President Wack questioned Mr. Cohen if he was willing to work with the City's Public Works department on looking at different options regarding water usage in anticipation of future growth. Mr. Cohen replied yes. Mr. Cumberland questioned the type of hazardous materials that would be used or stored, as well as the type of fire system that would be installed. Mr. Cohen replied that the type of material had not been determined and that the fire system would be wet sprinkler system.

Emily Brookston, 26 Fannies Meadow Court, questioned the type of vehicles that would be leaving the building to transport the products. Mr. Cohen replied that it would be unmarked vehicles with no armed guards. The transporting of the products would be as required in the security protocol.

Dan Staley, DRS & Associates at 52 Winters Street, shared that he felt the facility for Maryland Compassionate Care and Wellness, LLC will be well suited for the medical cannabis. Additionally, there will be 203 parking spaces, which exceeds the requirement for the permit. Mr. Staley shared that minimum renovations are needed for the exterior and will be suited for traffic access.

With no additional comments or questions from the Common Council and the public, President Wack closed the public hearing.

President Wack conducted a public hearing on the proposed Ordinance No. 869 – Amending Chapter 164 "Zoning and Subdivision of Land" to address wireless technology on certain private property. Mr. Mackey summarized that on August 8, 2016, the Mayor and Common Council introduced Ordinance No. 869 for deployment of wireless on private properties in certain commercial zoning districts. Also, a companion ordinance for proposed amendments to Chapter 139 was also introduced for deployment of wireless technology in the public rights-of-way. Ordinance No. 869 addresses applications for the deployment of wireless technology on private property in selected commercial zoning districts. The proposed ordinance adds a new definition for Telecommunications Installations to differentiate the wireless technology being proposed from that which is already allowed in City Code, namely, freestanding monopoles as Telecommunications Facilities. Installations are proposed as permitted uses in four commercial districts that comprise the downtown area (Mixed Use Infill, Central Commerce, Downtown Business, and Central Business) as well as the B- Business Zone. Installations are also proposed as special exceptions in two industrial zoning districts (Restricted Industrial and Planned Industrial). Any proposed installation on private property would be required to meet the size limitations in Chapter 139. The purpose of allowing such installations on certain private property is to support voice, data and image transmission in heavy traffic/use areas and for locations with constrained physical environments.

On August 8, 2016, the Mayor and Common Council requested that screening for wireless technology installations be reviewed in order to screen the installations from the neighboring properties. For example, there are standard screening applications that are used for HVAC equipment; however, these may not be appropriate for historic structures when such screening is readily visible from the public rights-of-way. Another option is to provide screening in the form of architectural elements that could camouflage the appearance of the technology.

The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval with the following: (1) that text be added stating "with screening as needed" to the definition of Telecommunications Installation; (2) that installations be allowed as permitted uses in the PRSC-Planned Regional Shopping Center Zone and in the G-I General Industrial Zone; (3) that installations be screened when they are located on rooftops to the satisfaction of the Director of the Department of Community Planning and Development and/or the Historic District Commission; and (4) that "on or within" be added to the proposed definition as follows:

> An unstaffed installation, excluding a satellite television dish antenna located at a private home for individual use, established for the purpose of providing wireless voice, data and image transmission within a designated service area and consisting of one or more antennas and related equipment, attached to or <u>contained on or within</u> a building, where no portion of any antenna or equipment is visible from the public rights-of-way with screening as needed.

The Planning and Zoning Commission explained its intent would be to allow nothing on the roof unless it were screened, with such screening to be reviewed by City staff and/or the Historic District Commission.

Councilman Pecoraro recalled a conversation regarding the industry representative present on August 8, 2016. Mr. Mackey replied that members of the industry attended the Planning and Zoning commission meeting. The issues raised by the industry representatives were discussed during the meeting and the Planning and Zoning included some of those in the recommendations.

Brian Augustine, 4000 Winchester Lane, Bowie, MD, from Verizon Wireless referred to an e-mail from Attorney, Christopher Mudd of Venable LLP in Towson, Md, asking Council to amend its Ordinance. The first amendment proposed by Verizon would be that the "unless screened" language be broadened to "unless stealthed through mechanisms to include, but not limited to, screening, painting, or other similar applications." The second amendment proposed by Verizon would be that the stealthing/screening mechanism be subject to local review by the Planning and Zoning Commission. The third amendment proposed by Verizon would be that the decision of the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) would control in the event that there is a conflict regarding stealthing/screening. Finally, the fourth amendment by Verizon would be that the definition of Telecommunications Installation be limited to "visible from that portion of the public rights-of-way that are adjacent to the subject building" or "visible from those portions of the public rights-of-way that are within 100 feet of the subject building."

President Wack commented that the Ordinance provides flexibility, such as the section that states "as needed." President Wack inquired if there had been prior discussion of what Mr. Augustine was presenting. Mr. Mackey replied that there had been prior discussion. One of the issues that was discussed during the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting was that Verizon is asking for painting rather than screening. If the antenna were painted it would be considered screened, which would not be adequate for screening in Mr. Mackey's opinion.

President Wack explained that the process has been discussed for several months. There have been multiple opportunities to provide input and that Council would not amend and pass amendments at this time. President Wack then inquired if this were something that Council would consider modifying again. Councilman Chiavacci inquired if the Planning and Zoning Commission recommended an amendment to the Ordinance; therefore, the Commission is asking that Council amend the Ordinance. Mr. Mackey replied that Planning and Zoning Commission's recommendation includes language with recommended amendments for Council to consider.

Chris Mudd, Attorney of Venable LLP in Towson, MD, shared that after attending the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting, he had not seen the recommended amendments that were proposed to Council prior to the Mayor and Common Council meeting. He asked that Common Council postpone their vote to give an opportunity for Verizon to work on additional amendments. Ms. Levan shared that Verizon seems to think that they are treating the Ordinance as a contested case which isn't an accurate understanding of a legislative proceeding. The Council may hold the public hearing open. The changes that Verizon is proposing are essentially that they would work cooperatively to determine on case-by-case basis whether screening is appropriate may not necessarily the outcome that Council might choose. Ms. Levan has no problem with a proposal for specific criteria for screening or what constitutes screening. Ms. Levan noted that stealthing and screening are two different things. Ms. Levan suggested that if Council would like to hold the record open and that if Verizon has some proposals that differ from what is included in the email, Verizon may submit them in writing. She commented that this may not be a cooperative process in writing of legislation. Mr. Mudd commented that he understood what Ms. Levan said and that the e-mail that was submitted was without the benefit of seeing what language was in front of Council, and therefore, could not provide precise actual amendment.

Sherri Saslaw, 9305 Gerwig Lane, Columbia, MD, member of Verizon, shared that Verizon had worked with Mr. Mackey. She felt that the industry had some input, but feels that the process was unclear in how to approach suggested amendments to the Ordinance.

Kevin Brown, 1975 Manchester Rd, Manchester, MD, CEO of Quantum Internet and Telephone, expressed his concerns regarding the restrictions of antennas that are attached to existing buildings not being visible from the public rights-of-way. The antennas and associated equipment that Quantum currently use for deploying next-generation Internet access are very small. Ordinance No. 868 allows for antennas to be 4 feet high and 16 inches in diameter, with the primary aesthetic requirement that they be painted to blend in with their surroundings. Mr. Brown suggested that the City adopt a similar regulation for antennas attached to buildings. Antennas that exceed such dimensions could be required to be screened or camouflaged to not be visible. Mr. Brown also

suggested that the City allow the small antennas in more zoning areas than what is being proposed by Ordinance No. 869.

Councilman Chiavacci inquired if Mr. Brown provides small cell wireless service in the community. Mr. Brown replied that he provides technology that is similar to small cell for internet and telephone that are in residential areas permitted by federal law. President Wack inquired that the telecommunications facility as defined in the Ordinance, excludes what is being talked about. Mr. Brown explained that the Ordinance is prohibitive in the process for Quantum to do their business. Mr. Mackey commented that when Mayor and Common Council adopted Ordinance No. 868 that would allow the location of wireless technology on any pole in the City. This Ordinance is a much smaller intervention for locations where there are no poles.

Tom Bethune, 1758 Gablehammer Rd, shared that one of the reasons the he is unable to do business within the City is due to the regulations. He commented that the Ordinance needs more clarification so that his business can provide what the community needs.

Councilman Pecoraro suggested leaving the record open until another Mayor and Common Council meeting. Mr. Deutsch suggested leaving the record open until the December 12 meeting. Councilman Pecoraro suggested closing the record on December 2 to give time for staff to review and prepare for the meeting on December 12.

Councilman Chiavacci commented that there is a question regarding small cell and wireless technology providers that are local. He inquired about separating the two so that are not putting egregious requirement on local wireless companies. Mr. Brown suggested regulations works for both.

Councilman Pecoraro moved, seconded by Councilwoman Albert, to keep the public hearing open until December 2 for Ordinance No. 872, as recommended.

VOTE
AYES: Council President Wack, Councilman Chiavacci, Councilwoman Albert, Councilwoman Becker, and Councilman Pecoraro.
NAYS: None.

MOTION Passed Unanimously 5-0.

CONSENT CALENDAR
President Wack requested a motion to approve the Consent Calendar which consisted of American Traffic Solutions, Inc. Agreement.

Councilman Chiavacci inquired if the City were to do away with the red light camera, would that void contract. Chief Spaulding replied that we can do away with it at any time.

Councilwoman Albert moved, seconded by Councilman Chiavacci, to approve the Consent Calendar, as recommended.

VOTE
AYES: Council President Wack, Councilman Chiavacci, Councilwoman Albert, Councilwoman Becker, and Councilman Pecoraro.
NAYS: None.

MOTION Passed Unanimously 5-0.

COUNCIL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION

Councilman Chiavacci shared that he, Councilwoman Becker, Mayor Utz, Mr. Deutsch, Ms. Gruber and Mr. Glass had met with Pinkard Properties on October 31 to discuss where the City stood with Wakefield Valley. The only thing that would be considered at this time would be the four turf fields. Shared what had resistance to at this time. The company has a project in the White Marsh, MD area going on at this time that would be a little more in line with what the City would like to do. Councilman Chiavacci shared that Pinkard Properties is still positive about the project and is willing to wait. They plan to keep the vast majority of the property as it is now with the hiking trails and open space. Mr. Deutsch shared that he and Ms. Gruber attended a meeting at Pinkard Properties on November 8 to follow up and walk through details of Baltimore County project that Pinkard Properties is currently working on with a 2017 completion time frame. Mr. Deutsch suggested that the City take a look at the Baltimore County project in a year to see what Pinkard Properties had done for ideas for the Wakefield Valley property. Mr. Deutsch commented that there are no further meetings scheduled with Pinkard Properties at this time. Councilman Chiavacci commented that the City is not in a rush and will need to decide what will need to do with the existing buildings. President Wack inquired if there was any discussion about the cart paths that are used for hiking trails. Councilman Chiavacci replied to make it clear that they wanted to see them stay and are an important part of the property and will be left as open, unencumbered access for public use. Pinkard Properties agreed and shared that they would participate in the upkeep of the trails. President Wack shared his recent experiences with biking trails in other communities and hewould like the same in Westminster area. Councilwoman Albert thanked Councilman Chiavacci for sharing information about the meeting regarding Pinkard Properties.

Councilman Pecoraro suggested having a meeting regarding winterizing the building and that the City needed to make decisions for all of the buildings on the property. Councilman Chiavacci suggested having Mr. Glass come up with a plan on what to do with the buildings. Mr. Deutsch replied that he would meet with Mr. Glass when he returned on November 21. Councilman Chiavacci informed Common Council members that he would be having lunch with a family member of the Durbin family regarding the family's potential contribution in helping to fund the repairs and restoration of the Durbin house. Councilman Chiavacci shared that he would report back to Mayor and Common Council after the meeting.

BIDS

Ms. Palmer informed Mayor and Common Council that the Finance Department was responsible for printing, stuffing and mailing utility bills and utility notices. She shared that the Finance Department issued a request for bids for printing and mailing services for the utility bill, newsletter and late notices. QuestMark Information Management, Inc was the lowest bid in the amount of $2,119 per month. Ms. Palmer noted that the cost comparison would save the City $567.99 per month, with annual savings of $6,815.88. Ms. Palmer recommended that Mayor and Common Council approve the service contract for QuestMark Information Management, Inc. for printing and mailing services related to the utility bills, newsletters and late notices in the amount of $25,426.80.

Councilman Pecoraro moved, seconded by Councilwoman Becker, to approve the bid for QuestMark Information Management, Inc. in the amount of $25,426.80, as recommended.

VOTE

AYES: Council President Wack, Councilman Chiavacci, Councilwoman Albert, Councilwoman Becker, and Councilman Pecoraro.
NAYS: None.

MOTION Passed Unanimously 5-0.

ORDINANCES & RESOLUTIONS
President Wack requested a motion to introduce Ordinance No. 872 - Rezoning and Simplified Site Plan for Medical Cannabis Uses at 1234 Tech Court as discussed during the public hearing.

Councilman Pecoraro moved, seconded by Councilman Chiavacci, to introduce Ordinance No. 872 - Rezoning and Simplified Site Plan for Medical Cannabis Uses at 1234 Tech Court, as recommended.

VOTE
AYES: Council President Wack, Councilman Chiavacci, Councilwoman Albert, Councilwoman Becker, and Councilman Pecoraro.
NAYS: None.

MOTION Passed Unanimously 5-0.

NEW BUSINESS
President Wack requested a motion to hold an Executive Session to discuss the appointment, employment, assignment, promotion, discipline, demotion, compensation, removal, resignation, or performance evaluation of appointees, employees, or officials over whom this public body has jurisdiction; or any other personnel matter that affects one or more specific individuals. To consider the acquisition of real property for public purpose and matters directly related thereto; and to consult with counsel to obtain legal advice on a legal matter.

Councilwoman Albert moved, seconded by Councilman Pecoraro, to approve to hold an Executive Session Immediately following regular meeting, as recommended.

VOTE
AYES: Council President Wack, Councilman Chiavacci, Councilwoman Albert, Councilwoman Becker, and Councilman Pecoraro.
NAYS: None.

MOTION Passed Unanimously 5-0.

DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS
Mr. Cumberland reported that in the month of October, Westminster Fire Department responded to 149 calls, total year to date being 1446. He then reported that EMS responded to 450 calls during the month of October, totaling 4,444 year to date.

Ms. Palmer reported that a representative of Coha, Reznick, the City's outside auditor, would be attending Mayor and Common Council meeting on January 9 to go over the comprehensive financial reports. She asked that Council review the comprehensive report that she provided them and contact her with any questions. Ms. Palmer noted that there was one finding this year due to a road improvement project that was completed in 2012 and was not included in the fixed assets during that time. Ms. Palmer then reported that Finance Department is currently preparing for the FY2018 budget and plan to have the budget worksheets out to department heads soon.

Chief Spaulding reported that Westminster Police Department is preparing for the Crime Prevention program that will begin on November 25. He shared that Westminster Police Department has partnered up with the Carroll County Sheriff's department for the past two years and have been successful in cutting down on crime during the holiday season.

Ms. Gruber reported that the Westminster City Park was completed and open to the public. She shared that she had received positive feedback regarding the park. Councilman Chiavacci complimented Ms. Gruber on a job well done in responding to feedback regarding the park. President Wack commented that during his experience

bike riding on the trails that connect to the parks, the amount of people that were in the parks and on the trails. Ms. Gruber then remind Council of the Miracle on Main Street holiday event on November 26.

Ms. Childs reported that the 12th Annual Employee Expo was a successful event. She shared that she received positive feedback from the vendors about how engaging and friendly the City staff were. Ms. Childs then reported that the City received a Risk Management award for the second year in a row from LGIT.

Mr. Mackey reported that on November 1 the Board of Zoning Appeals approved carryout only restaurant in FR Conversion. The Planning and Zoning Department kept their public hearing regarding the Wakefield Valley development proposal open until November 12 and then meeting on November 17 to finish deliberating. Mr. Mackey informed Common Council that December 12 meeting would be held at John Street Quarters for the public hearing for the Wakefield Valley development.

Mr. Deutsch reported that after Council's request to begin receiving Mayor and Common Council meeting packets on Thursdays, rather than Fridays prior to a meeting, Mr. Deutsch shared that after consulting with staff, everyone was on board. Staff plans to begin in December to have the Council Packets out on Thursday prior to a Mayor and Common Council meeting.

CITIZEN COMMENTS

Steve Tokarz, 2 Fannies Meadow Court, Vice President of the HOA for Fenby Farm shared that he and other members of the HOA were opposed to the four lighted turf fields on the Wakefield Valley property. He commented that he was relieved to hear that the Pinkard Property plans had been scaled down.

Emily Brookstan, 26 Fannies Meadow Court, shared that she is new resident of the area and was disappointed to hear of the Pinkard Property plan, as well as the possible development. President Wack commented that the Pinkard Property project and the development project on the Wakefield property were not connected.

Gay Teada, 60 Blue Swallow Court, shared her concerns regarding cancer that is being caused by turf fields. Council President Wack commented that there is not a consensus on alleged health impacts of the turf fields.

Darrel Teada, 60 Blue Swallow Court, expressed his concerns regarding Wakefield Valley water supply.

Denise Markwitz, 942 Westcliff Court, expressed concerns of the turf field. She shared that she was also opposed.

Russel Kuyawa, 949 Westcliff Court, shared his concerns regarding Pinkard Properties due to the lights and increase in traffic that would be caused by the turf fields.

Joanne Tarbell, 19 Fannies Meadow Court, also shared her concerns regarding Pinkard Properties and adding of the turf fields.

President Wack commented that there is no active proposal at this time that the City was back to square one regarding the Wakefield Valley property.

ADJOURNMENT

President Wack adjourned the meeting at 8:59 PM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Shannon Visocsky

Full audio version is available on www.westminstermd.gov.